

**Proposed Kaipara District Plan:  
Form 6 - Further Submission**

*Clause 7 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991*

This is a further submission that is either in support of or in opposition to any submission already received by Kaipara District Council on any Proposed Kaipara District Plan topic **except for Light** in the Proposed Kaipara District Plan. No new submission points can be made.

All submissions and Summary of Submissions can be viewed on our website here:  
[www.kaipara.govt.nz/kaipara-district-plan-review/proposed-district-plan-submissions](http://www.kaipara.govt.nz/kaipara-district-plan-review/proposed-district-plan-submissions)

**Note:** *The topic of Light was notified for further submissions on 21 October 2025 prior to all other topics due to the hearing for Light being confirmed for 17 December 2025.*

**Note:** You can only make a further submissions on the submission points identified in an original submission on the Proposed Kaipara District Plan.

**1. Further submitter details** *(mandatory information)*

**Full name of individual/organisation making further submission:**

**Contact person** *(if different from above):*

**Email address:**

**Postal address:**

**Postcode:**

**Preferred method of contact:**

**Email**

**Post**

**Contact phone number:**

**Do you have an agent who is acting on your behalf?**

**Yes**

**No**

If you would like a copy of your submission sent to your agent, enter their email address below *(otherwise leave blank)*

**Agent email address:**

**2. Eligibility to make a further submission** *(for information on this section go to RMA Schedule 1, clause 8)*

I am (select one of the following options):

A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.

*In this case, also specify below the grounds for saying that you come within this category.*

A person who has interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has.

*In this case, also specify below the grounds for saying that you come within this category: or*

The local authority

### 3. My reasons for selecting the category ticked above are:

*(For example: Any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest would likely include public interest environmental groups*

OR

*Any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has is likely to include owners of land and users of resources directly affected by plan provisions. It is also likely to include iwi and hapu where their interests are directly affected.)*

### 4. Request to be heard at hearings


Yes, I wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission; or

No, I do not wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission.

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

Yes

No



**Signature of further submitter:**

*(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)*

*(A signature is not required if you are making your further submission by electronic means)*

**Date**

## Important information:

1. This Form 6 is for further submissions on every topic with the Proposed Kaipara District Plan (apart from Light).
2. You must serve a copy of your further submission on the original submitter **within five working days after it is served** on Kaipara District Council.
3. The Kaipara District Council must receive this further submission before the closing date and time for further submissions (**5.00pm on Monday 15 December 2025**).
4. All information provided in your further submission is considered public under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and will be published to progress the process for the Proposed Kaipara District Plan and will be made publicly available. Your further submission will only be used for the purpose of the Proposed Kaipara District Plan.
5. Submitters who indicate they wish to speak at the Hearing will be emailed all relevant information relating to the Hearing. If you don't have an email address, it will be posted.

## Note to person making submission:

Your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious;
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the further submission (or the part) to be taken further; and/or
- It contains offensive language.

## Send your further submission:

**Post it to:** District Planning Team  
Kaipara District Council  
Private Bag 1001  
Dargaville 0340

OR

**Email to:** [districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz](mailto:districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz)

**OR you can hand-deliver this further submission form along with any attachments to:** any Kaipara District Council service centre (Dargaville at 32 Hokianga Road or Mangawhai at 6 Molesworth Drive). Please be aware that our service centre doors close at **4.00pm**.

**Please refer to District Plan Review on our website [www.kaipara.govt.nz](http://www.kaipara.govt.nz) where all information and updates are located.**

If you need any assistance at all, please contact the District Planning Team on 0800 727 059 or email us at [districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz](mailto:districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz).

Further Submissions must be received  
by: **5pm – Monday 15 December 2025**

**5. Further Submission/s on all remaining topics (excluding the LIGHT topic) on the Proposed Kaipara District Plan:**

	<b>Name of original submitter</b>	<b>Original submitter number</b>	<b>Original submission point number</b>	<b>Support or oppose</b>	<b>Reasons for supporting or opposing</b>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed)</b>
<b>FS97.1</b>	Evolve Planning and Landscape Architecture	50	50.9	Support in part	The submission seeks to amend the zoning within Mangawhai and Hakaru from general rural zone to rural lifestyle zone. Such an outcome may be appropriate although would require full analysis of the appropriateness of the zoning in each case, including for Bream Tail and nearby general rural zoned sites.	Allow in part, subject to an analysis of the appropriateness of the zoning in each case.
<b>FS97.2</b>	Evolve Planning and Landscape Architecture	50	50.11	Oppose in part	The submission seeks to amend the zoning in Mangawhai and Mangawhai Heads from General residential zone to Large lot residential, Medium density residential and High density residential.  Medium density residential and high density residential outcomes are not appropriate for Bream Tail and nearby and adjoining general rural and residential zoned sites (assuming they are within the scope of the rezoning request sought).	Disallow in so far as the request would apply medium density residential and high-density residential zoning to Bream Tail and nearby and adjoining general rural and residential zoned sites
<b>FS97.3</b>	Evolve Planning and Landscape Architecture	50	50.23	Oppose	The submission seeks to add objectives and policies to the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter, using Whangarei District Plan as an example.  Reference to another District Plan as an example lacks the specificity of relief required of submissions to a proposed plan and is not supported by s32 analysis as to appropriateness in Kaipara.	Disallow
<b>FS97.4</b>	Evolve Planning and Landscape Architecture	50	50.24	Oppose	The submission seeks to add more rules to the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter using Whangarei District Plan as an example.  Reference to another District Plan as an example lacks the specificity of relief required of submissions to a proposed plan and is not supported by s32 analysis as to appropriateness in Kaipara.	Disallow
<b>FS97.5</b>	Evolve Planning and Landscape Architecture	50	50.26	Oppose	The submission seeks to delete all references to “This rule does not apply to: The defined Exclusive Use Areas shown on the Survey Plan for lots 1-29, 32,34,40,41 and 45 DP 348513 consented by RM050086 (Bream Tail) provided that the other conditions of the Consent Notices (dated 2 February 2004) on these titles are complied with, which shall rely on Rule 12.10.3c.2 in Chapter 12 of the Kaipara Operative District Plan.”	Disallow

Name of original submitter	Original submitter number	Original submission point number	Support or oppose	Reasons for supporting or opposing	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed)	
				<p>within the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes chapter.</p> <p>The provisions are appropriate as they recognise the particular circumstances of the Bream Tail subdivision, including the detailed requirements of the consent notices that exist on the titles in respect to the location, height and design of new buildings, the design review process required to be in place, and the extensive landscape and ecological mitigations established and ongoing. This assists in reducing consenting burden and risks and, by enabling appropriate development in this way, the wider ecological and landscape benefits of Bream Tail are also enabled.</p>		
<b>FS97.6</b>	Evolve Planning and Landscape Architecture	50	50.30	Oppose	The submission seeks to delete GRZ-R11 and replace with the current impervious surfaces percentage in the Rural zone.	Disallow
<b>FS97.7</b>	Evolve Planning and Landscape Architecture	50	50.31	Oppose	The submission seeks to amend the general rural zone rules to provide an appropriate impervious area rule that mitigates any increase in impervious areas and is related to the size of the site.	Disallow
<b>FS97.8</b>	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	146	146.1	Support	<p>The submission seeks to add a definition for "Agricultural Aviation Activity".</p> <p>That is supported to assist to provide clarity for the scope of the activity as the intermittent, infrequent or temporary use of rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas, rather than airfields or heliports used on a regular basis or aircraft bases in fixed locations.</p>	Allow in full
<b>FS97.9</b>	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	146	146.2	Support	The submission seeks to add a definition for "Aircraft" which is supported.	Allow in full
<b>FS97.10</b>	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	146	146.3	Support	<p>The submission seeks to add a definition for "Airfield".</p> <p>The further submitter agrees that it is important to have specific definitions capable of clearly defining the difference between airfields used regularly or as a fixed-location base, compared to rural airstrips used intermittently, infrequently or temporarily.</p>	Allow in full
<b>FS97.11</b>	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	146	146.7	Support	<p>The submission seeks to add a definition for "Heliport".</p> <p>Inclusion of a definition for a 'Heliport' will clarify the difference between a temporary helicopter</p>	Allow in full

	Name of original submitter	Original submitter number	Original submission point number	Support or oppose	Reasons for supporting or opposing	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed)
					landing area used on an intermittent, infrequent or temporary basis, and a heliport used on a regular basis or as a permanent base.	
<b>FS97.12</b>	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	146	146.13	Support	The submission seeks to add a definition for "Rural Airstrip".  It is important to have specific definitions capable of clearly distinguishing 'Airfields' used on a regular basis or as a fixed base, versus 'Rural Airstrips' which are used intermittently, infrequently or merely on a temporary basis.	Allow in full
<b>FS97.13</b>	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	146	146.14	Support	The submission seeks to add a definition for "Temporary Helicopter Landing Area".  It is important to define 'Temporary helicopter landing area' so the term can be used to distinguish between a landing area used on an intermittent, infrequent or temporary basis versus a permanent heliport used on a regular basis or as a base.	Allow in full
<b>FS97.14</b>	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	146	146.34	Support	The new objective sought is supported.	Allow in full
<b>FS97.15</b>	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	146	146.35	Support	Retention of the objective is supported.	Allow in full
<b>FS97.16</b>	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	146	146.38	Support	The further submitter agrees that it needs to be clear that use of temporary helicopter landing areas is excluded from NOISE-R6.	Allow in full
<b>FS97.17</b>	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	146	146.39	Support	The scope proposed in the standard As notified does not include the full range of agricultural aviation activities.	Allow in full
<b>FS97.18</b>	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	146	146.40	Support	The scope of NOISE-S3 does not include the full range of agricultural aviation activities	Allow in full
<b>FS97.19</b>	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	146	146.46	Support	The amendments to GRUZ-P4.3 are supported.	Allow in full
<b>FS97.20</b>	New Zealand Helicopter Association	147	147.1	Support	The submission seeks to add a definition for "Aircraft" which is supported.	Allow in full
<b>FS97.21</b>	New Zealand Helicopter Association	147	147.4	Support	The submission seeks to add a definition for "Helicopter Movement" which is supported.	Allow in full
<b>FS97.22</b>	New Zealand Helicopter Association	147	147.5	Support	The submission seeks to add a definition for "Heliport" which is supported.	Allow in full
<b>FS97.23</b>	New Zealand Helicopter Association	147	147.7	Support	The submission seeks to add a definition for "Temporary Helicopter Landing Area" which is supported.	Allow in full
<b>FS97.24</b>	New Zealand	147	146.8	Support	The new objective is supported	Allow in full

	Name of original submitter	Original submitter number	Original submission point number	Support or oppose	Reasons for supporting or opposing	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed)
	Helicopter Association					
<b>FS97.25</b>	New Zealand Helicopter Association	147	147.9	Support	The retention of the objective is supported	Allow in full
<b>FS97.26</b>	New Zealand Helicopter Association	147	147.10	Support	The new policy sought is supported	Allow in full
<b>FS97.27</b>	New Zealand Helicopter Association	147	147.13	Support	Helicopter construction activities should be recognised in the Proposed District Plan through the proposed NZS6803 'Construction Works' definition	Allow in full
<b>FS97.28</b>	New Zealand Helicopter Association	147	147.15	Support	The policy is appropriate for movements from a fixed location airfield or heliport used on a regular basis, however separate provisions should be added for the use of temporary helicopter landing areas for emergencies and/or ad-hoc commercial activities	Allow in full
<b>FS97.29</b>	New Zealand Helicopter Association	147	147.16	Support in part	Emergency, temporary and infrequent helicopter activities should be provided for in the noise rule as sought, except that the frequency of use should be less restrictive than that sought and allow movements on no more than 3 days within any 7-day period (rather than 3-month period) or otherwise in a manner that complies with NZS 6807, with that sort of frequency typically appropriate in rural zones.	Allow in part to the extent that the frequency of helicopter use should be less restrictive than that sought in the submission and allow movements on no more than 3 days within any 7-day period (rather than 3-month period) or otherwise in a manner that complies with NZS 6807.
<b>FS97.30</b>	New Zealand Helicopter Association	147	147.20	Support	The relief of retaining (or including as the case may be) intermittent, infrequent or temporary commercial helicopter activities as a permitted activity in the proposed plan is supported.	Allow in full
<b>FS97.31</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	149	149.37	Oppose	<p>The submission seeks to include SNAs in the District Plan or an objective regarding the identification of areas through new subdivision, use and development proposals using the Regional Policy Statement Appendix 5 criteria.</p> <p>The National Policy Statement on Indigenous Biodiversity specifies how indigenous biodiversity should be maintained, and gives effect to the RMA. If the Proposed Plan is to give effect to the NPS:IB this should be by way of a Variation to the Plan, rather than through submissions, for the sake of partnership, transparency and consistency.</p>	Disallow

	Name of original submitter	Original submitter number	Original submission point number	Support or oppose	Reasons for supporting or opposing	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed)
					Relying on case-by-case assessment by landowners as sought, risks SNAs not being consistently applied and does not accord with the 4 <sup>th</sup> schedule process envisaged by the NPS:IB.	
<b>FS97.32</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	149	149.40	Oppose	The submitter seeks to amend ECO-P1.1. to include or list of all New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement matters.  This lacks the specificity of relief required of submissions to a proposed plan and is not supported by s32 analysis.	Disallow
<b>FS97.33</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	149	149.56	Oppose	The submission seeks to amend policy NFL-p2 to allow lawfully established land uses to continue without undue restriction provided that additional adverse effects on the characteristics, qualities and values of Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes as set out in SCHED4 and SCHED5 are avoided.  This submission does not accord with existing use rights enabled by the RMA 1991, nor does it give appropriate recognition of existing uses as required by the Regional Policy Statement for Northland.	Disallow
<b>FS97.34</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	149	149.80	Oppose	The submission seeks new objectives and policies that give effect to New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement policies listed, without specifying how.  This lacks the specificity of relief required of submissions to a proposed plan and is not supported by s32 analysis.	Disallow
<b>FS97.35</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	149	149.81	Oppose	The submission seeks to amend CE-P1 by changing 'land use and development' to 'activities' throughout	Disallow
<b>FS97.36</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	149	149.127	Oppose	The submission seeks to amend the Proposed District Plan to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity. Where provisions relate to Significant Natural Area identification, the submitter requests that they be implemented in the context of s6 Resource Management Act obligations and the relevant provisions of the Northland Regional Policy Statement.  This lacks the specificity of relief required of submissions to a proposed plan and is not supported by s32 analysis.	Disallow

	Name of original submitter	Original submitter number	Original submission point number	Support or oppose	Reasons for supporting or opposing	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed)
<b>FS97.37</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	149	149.128	Oppose	The submission seeks amend the Proposed District Plan to provide stronger management tools for biodiversity with no specific reference as to how.  This lacks the specificity of relief required of submissions to a proposed plan and is not supported by s32 analysis.	Disallow
<b>FS97.38</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	149	149.129	Oppose	The submission seeks to amend the Proposed District Plan to give effect to the full suite of objectives and policy direction in the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement.  This lacks the specificity of relief required of submissions to a proposed plan and is not supported by s32 analysis.	Disallow
<b>FS97.39</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	149	149.130	Oppose	The submitter seeks to amend the Proposed District Plan to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.  This lacks the specificity of relief required of submissions to a proposed plan and is not supported by s32 analysis.	Disallow
<b>FS97.40</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	149	149.145	Oppose	The submitter seeks to add policies to the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter regarding the following matters to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity.  This lacks the specificity of relief required of submissions to a proposed plan and is not supported by s32 analysis.	Disallow
<b>FS97.41</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	149	149.148	Oppose	The submitter seeks to add a catch-all rule for any use or development not provided for in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter as a discretionary activity.  This outcome places an unreasonable consent burden on landowners and is neither efficient nor effective in achieving the objectives of the proposed plan.	Disallow
<b>FS97.42</b>	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	265	265.1	Oppose	The submission seeks to amend the Proposed District Plan to give effect to national direction and regional planning instruments in a way that is specific to Kaipara.  This lacks the specificity of relief required of submissions to a proposed plan and is not supported by s32 analysis.	Disallow
<b>FS97.43</b>	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	265	265.4	Oppose	The submission seeks to amend the relevant objectives, policies, rules and standards to ensure planning outcomes are achieved which will	Disallow

	Name of original submitter	Original submitter number	Original submission point number	Support or oppose	Reasons for supporting or opposing	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed)
					not jeopardise the integrity of Kaipara's natural environment.  This lacks the specificity of relief required of submissions to a proposed plan and is not supported by s32 analysis.	
<b>FS97.44</b>	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	265	265.5	Oppose	The submission seeks to amend the Natural Features and Landscapes chapter to give effect to national direction and regional planning instruments.  This lacks the specificity of relief required of submissions to a proposed plan and is not supported by s32 analysis.	Disallow
<b>FS97.45</b>	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	265	265.6	Oppose	The submission seeks to amend the Public Access chapter to give effect to national direction and regional planning instruments.  This lacks the specificity of relief required of submissions to a proposed plan and is not supported by s32 analysis.	Disallow
<b>FS97.46</b>	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	265	265.7	Oppose	The submitter seeks to amend the entire Coastal Environment chapter to give effect to national direction.  This lacks the specificity of relief required of submissions to a proposed plan and is not supported by s32 analysis.	Disallow
<b>FS97.47</b>	Bream Tail Residents Association Incorporated	300	300.73	Support	The submission seeks to delete the mapped extent of the High Natural Character overlay from identified properties at Bream Tail so that it is removed from the building platforms and house sites, and their curtilage areas and access driveways of the following properties at Bream Tail and is supported for the same reasons as set out in the submission.	Allow in full.
<b>FS97.48</b>	Bream Tail Residents Association Incorporated	300	300.74	Support	The submission seeks to amend the mapped extent of the Coastal Environment overlay on the properties at Bream Tail shown in Attachment 1 of the submission so that it accurately follows characteristics and features, including the first prominent ridge line or contour from the Coastal Marine Area and is supported for the same reasons as set out in the submission.	Allow in full
<b>FS97.49</b>	Bream Tail Residents Association Incorporated	300	300.75	Support	The submission seeks to amend the mapped extent of the Outstanding Natural Landscape overlay on the properties at Bream Tail as shown on Attachment 1 of the submission so that it accurately follows characteristics and features	Allow in full

	Name of original submitter	Original submitter number	Original submission point number	Support or oppose	Reasons for supporting or opposing	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed)
					according to the Landscape Assessment Criteria of the Regional Policy Statement and is supported for the same reasons as set out in the submission.	
<b>FS97.50</b>	Director General of Conservation	304	304.71	Oppose	<p>The submitter seeks to add a new policy to the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter to require activities not provided for in ECO-R1 to obtain from a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist confirming that the indigenous vegetation proposed to be cleared does not meet the criteria in Appendix 5 of the Northland Regional Policy Statement 2016.</p> <p>Subject to the amendments sought in the further submitter's primary submission, the policies of the proposed plan adequately provide for the protection of indigenous biodiversity.</p>	Disallow
<b>FS97.51</b>	Director General of Conservation	304	304.73	Oppose	<p>Various amendments to ECO-R1 are sought by the submission.</p> <p>Apart from the need to also provide for the construction of buildings ancillary to a single residential unit as sought by the further submitter's primary submission, ECO-R1 as proposed provides for an appropriate range of exceptions to the Indigenous vegetation clearance requirements.</p>	Disallow
<b>FS97.52</b>	Director General of Conservation	304	304.74	Oppose	<p>The submission seeks to permit a maximum of up to 150m2 of vegetation clearance in all zones and require discretionary activity consent if this threshold is exceeded, accompanied by an assessment against Appendix 5 of the Northland Regional Policy Statement by a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist using the Wildlands Report.</p> <p>Subject to the relief sought in the further submitter's primary submission, rules ECO-R1 and ECO-R2 provide appropriate permitted activity standards, including exceptions generally consistent with the Regional Plan for Northland.</p>	Disallow